

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/798,310	03/12/2004	Hidayat Husain	4320-553	5768	
1059	7590 11/28/2006	EXAMINER		INER	
BERESKIN AND PARR			FORTUNA, ANA M		
40 KING STREET WEST BOX 401		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
TORONTO, ON M5H 3Y2			1723	· · · · <del>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · </del>	
CANADA		•	DATE MAILED: 11/28/2006	DATE MAILED: 11/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			·	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/798,310	HUSAIN ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	_
		Ana M. Fortuna	1723	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the second will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the second ABANDON to the second to the secon	DN. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			•	
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Sec</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.		
Dispositi	ion of Claims	,		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicati</b> 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-58 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 23-37 is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-22, 38-58 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examine The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct.	n from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) □ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer, Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.	
12) a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applica ity documents have been receiv ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage	
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5)  Notice of Informal 6)  Other:	Date	

Application/Control Number: 10/798,310 Page 2

Art Unit: 1723

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action. See Office Action of 11/16/05, the later rejection is maintained.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 9/8/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that reference'058 teaches a recovery of 75 % but does not teach the feed superficial velocity. The claims are rejected as "obvious" over reference '058 and further combination of references, as discussed in the previous Office action. Applicant also acknowledged that the recited velocity is not taught by the reference ('058). In the present application the module is tapered and tailored for a particular velocity and/or recovery as suggested in reference '058 (see column 1, first paragraph; column 2, lines 7-11, 43-59; column 3, lines 1-3; and column 6, lines 47 through column 7, lines 1-14). In the later sections (column 6 birding column 7) patent (US4,855,058) clearly suggests tapering the width of the (spiral wound ) membrane module to increase permeation by maintaining feed velocity. Using membranes ranging in pore size from RO to MF is disclosed. The operation pressure for reverse osmosis

Art Unit: 1723

membranes is suggested between 270 to 420 Psi, operating temperature of 25 degree C, to produce a 90 % recovery 9see columns 9-10, examples I and II, the diameter and length of the membrane modules are also taught. Applicant uses the same operational conditions (see paragraphs [0039][0034](tapered arrangement), page 18, line 30), and design a module with a tapering including a reduction in module diameter of about 20 % or less with respect to the width of the cross-sectional area at the first stage (with respect to second end or stage).

By selecting the same feed to the membrane at the same operational conditions and producing the same recovery one skilled in the art at the time this invention was made using the same type of membrane, e.g. RO, can expect the same velocity during the process, in particular for modules designed with same degree of tapering or modification in module diameter or cross sectional area, which is suggested in '058, to obtain the resulting water recovery (see examples I, and II).

Although the specific velocity is not disclosed, one skilled in the art with the teaching of '058 will be able to designs a spiral wound reverse osmosis, UF or MF membrane with a particular degree of tapering or variation of module diameter, module length, membrane and/or spacer modifications to reach, under the membrane pressure specification, to a particular recovery, being higher when the module is designed for constant feed velocity in the feed channel (or zero pressure drop), as suggested in '058 (see column 6, lines 59-68, bridging column 7, first paragraph).

Additional combination of references is based on '058, and these rejections are also maintained for the reasons above.

Application/Control Number: 10/798,310

Art Unit: 1723

4. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the combination with Shippey ('301) and Uhlinger ('556) with '058, for injecting gas and cleaning the membrane, etc. is considered proper for the reasons discussed in the previous office action.

Page 4

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ana M. Fortuna whose telephone number is (571) 272-1141. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L. Walker can be reached on (571) 272-1151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ana M Fortuna Primary Examiner Art Unit 1723

ΑF

November 22, 2006